The scale **Journal Website:** https://thescalejournal.com.ng/
An Interdisciplinary Journal of
The ACADEMIC STAFF UNION OF POLYTECHNICS - ZONE D
ISSN: 2992-3727 Published 30th November, 2025

THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF ELECTION RIGGING IN NIGERIA

Chukwu Oko [1]; Felicia Ogudu [2]
[1,2] General Studies Department, Akanu Ibiam Federal Polytechnic, Unwana, Ebonyi State.
aquasaporitacol@gmail.com

Abstract
Electoral rigging—comprising ballot stuffing, voter intimidation, result falsification, vote buying, and institutional manipulation—remains a recurring challenge in Nigeria's democratic evolution. This paper examines the negative political, social, and economic impacts of election rigging in Nigeria, drawing on recent empirical and normative literature and an original mixed-methods analysis of secondary data and key-informant interviews. Findings show that rigging undermines democratic legitimacy, reduces voter turnout and civic trust, exacerbates political violence and social fragmentation, distorts public resource allocation, increases corruption, and reduces investor confidence—thereby slowing socioeconomic development. The paper concludes with policy recommendations for strengthening electoral integrity, improving institutional capacity, enhancing civic education, and deploying targeted technological safeguards. Recent literature and practice-based reforms are discussed.
Keywords: Election, Rigging, Voter intimidation, Vote buying, ballot stuffing

Introduction
Elections are the cornerstone of representative democracy; their credibility conditions the legitimacy of governing institutions and the social contract between rulers and the ruled. In Nigeria—Africa's most populous country—elections have occasionally been marred by systematic malpractices often described as rigging: physical interference with ballots and electoral processes, vote-buying, intimidation of voters and election officials, manipulation of results, and abuse of state resources for electoral advantage. These practices have persisted despite repeated reforms and technological introductions (e.g., biometric verification) and have been linked to both immediate and long-term costs to democratic consolidation and development. Recent scholarship highlights that electoral manipulation not only changes the composition of political leadership but also creates structural consequences for trust, security, public finances, and economic growth.

Statement of the Problem
Despite legal frameworks and institutional mechanisms (e.g., INEC reforms, ballot verification technologies), election rigging persists in Nigeria. This problem is consequential because illegitimate electoral outcomes can produce maladaptive governance (unaccountable leaders), fuel post-electoral violence, reduce citizen participation, and misdirect public policy and resources—further entrenching poverty and underdevelopment. Yet while numerous studies document incidents and patterns of rigging, there remains a need for a consolidated, contemporary analysis that links electoral malpractices directly to measurable political, social, and economic harms in Nigeria's recent electoral cycles. This paper addresses that gap by synthesizing recent literature and presenting an analysis of the negative impacts associated with election rigging.

Literature Review

Scholars of comparative politics and African studies have long examined electoral fraud's effects on institutional trust and political stability. Recent contributions focusing on Nigeria document patterns—incumbent advantage through coercive and non-coercive means, electoral violence, and administrative weaknesses—that degrade electoral integrity. Studies show that manipulation reduces voter turnout and confidence in electoral commissions and courts, while also increasing the probability of violent contestation and litigation. Research also links compromised elections to rent-seeking governance and poor public-service delivery because leaders secured through malpractice have lower accountability incentives. Multiple authors argue that technological fixes (biometric devices, electronic result transmission) help but are insufficient without stronger enforcement and political will.

Research Questions and Objectives

Primary question: What are the negative political, social, and economic impacts of election rigging in Nigeria?

Objectives:

1. To map the main forms of electoral rigging observed in Nigeria's recent cycles.
2. To analyze the consequences of rigging for democratic legitimacy, social cohesion, and economic outcomes.
3. To propose evidence-based recommendations to mitigate the negative effects of rigging.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods approach combining: (1) systematic review of recent peer-reviewed and policy literature (2018–2025), (2) secondary quantitative analysis of voter turnout, post-election violence incidents, and selected economic indicators across election cycles, and (3) semi-structured interviews with 12 purposively sampled key informants (civil society election observers, two former INEC officials, three political party operatives, and four academics/researchers).

Data Sources and Collection

- **Documentary sources:** peer-reviewed journals, election observation reports, official INEC reports, NGO and think-tank publications. (Searches prioritized materials from 2018–2025 to capture recent dynamics and reforms.)
- **Quantitative indicators:** national and state-level voter turnout figures (INEC), recorded post-election violence incidents (compiled from media/NGO datasets), and subnational economic proxies (state-level budget reallocations, where available).
- **Interviews:** conducted remotely in June–August 2025 following an interview guide focused on observed rigging methods, institutional weaknesses, and perceived impacts. Interviews were recorded with consent and transcribed.

Analytical Strategy

- **Qualitative analysis:** thematic coding of literature and interview transcripts to identify recurring impact pathways (legitimacy, violence, governance).
- **Quantitative analysis:** simple descriptive and correlational analyses examining the association between documented irregularities (from credible observer reports) and subsequent measures—voter turnout change, incidence of post-election violence, and delays/changes in budgetary allocations.

Limitations

- Reliance on secondary data constrains causal claims; associations are interpreted carefully.
- Some indicators (e.g., state-level economic impacts) are noisy due to multiple confounders.
- Interview sample is purposive and relatively small; results are interpretive and illustrative rather than statistically generalizable.

Findings

1. Forms and Mechanisms of Rigging Observed

Across reviewed literature and informant testimony, common mechanisms include: ballot stuffing and falsification of results at collation centers; manipulation or intimidation of polling officials; vote-buying and inducements; hijacking of electoral materials; and misuse of security forces to favor certain actors. Technological interventions (e.g., BVAS—Biometric Verification and Accreditation Systems) have reduced some forms of impersonation but vulnerabilities remain at collation and result-transmission stages.

2. Democratic Legitimacy and Institutional Trust

Where rigging is perceived or documented, public trust in electoral management institutions and elected leaders declines measurably. Interviewees repeatedly emphasized that repeated malpractice leads citizens to view elections as "pre-determined" and reduces belief in the efficacy of voting. Quantitatively, states with higher documented irregularities showed larger declines in turnout in subsequent off-cycle elections and lower trust measures in survey datasets referenced in observer reports. This erosion of legitimacy reduces the government's ability to mobilize consent for policies and reforms.

3. Political Violence, Polarization, and Social Fragmentation

Rigging correlates with higher levels of post-election contestation—including protests, riots, and localized violent clashes—especially when irregularities align with ethnic or regional cleavages. Several recent studies attribute spikes in localized violence and intimidation during and after electoral periods to tactics associated with rigging (e.g., ballot snatching, threats to opposition supporters). These dynamics deepen social fragmentation and create cycles of retributive violence and insecurity.

4. Governance, Public Finance, and Corruption

When officeholders derive power from compromised elections, accountability mechanisms weaken. Documents and interviews indicate that elected leaders who benefited from malpractice are less responsive to constituents and more likely to engage in patronage and clientelist distribution of resources. This can distort public spending (favoring politically strategic projects over broad-based investments), divert resources through corruption, and increase fiscal leakages—constraining development outcomes. While precise causal attribution at the state level is complex, convergent qualitative evidence supports this pathway.

5. Economic Confidence and Investment

Perceptions of political unpredictability and institutional weakness following rigged contests negatively affect investor confidence. Several policy reports indicate that regions with chronic electoral malpractice receive fewer private investments and show slower growth in business registrations, partly because of perceived governance risks. The uncertainty around political transitions and possibility of unrest raises the cost of doing business and deters long-term capital commitments.

6. Legal Backlogs and Judicial Strain

Widespread electoral disputes generate a backlog of post-election litigation, straining judicial capacity and delaying governance stabilization. Many contestations consume years in court, prolonging political uncertainty and reducing administrative continuity. This was noted repeatedly after recent election cycles and documented in both academic analysis and judicial records.

Discussion

The findings show that election rigging in Nigeria has multidimensional negative effects that extend well beyond the immediate falsification of outcomes. Erosion of legitimacy and institutional trust is foundational: it undermines the social license of governments and creates conditions for polarization and violence. Governance consequences—reduced accountability, increased patronage, and diversion of public goods—translate into tangible socioeconomic harms via under-investment in public services and dampened economic activity.

Technological interventions (BVAS, improved result-transmission platforms) address certain technical vulnerabilities but are insufficient without accountability and political will. Institutional reforms must therefore be paired with robust enforcement mechanisms, independent oversight, protection for electoral officials and observers, civic education, and a culture of political competition that prizes legitimacy over short-term power retention.

Summary

Election rigging in Nigeria is not merely a procedural flaw; it is a structural challenge that undermines democratic legitimacy, fuels violence and social fragmentation, diminishes governance quality, and deters economic investment. The evidence—drawn from contemporary literature, secondary data analysis, and stakeholder interviews—indicates that the negative impacts are cumulative and mutually reinforcing. Tackling rigging requires a holistic strategy that combines technological safeguards with institutional strengthening, legal reforms, civic engagement, and strong enforcement of electoral laws.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the paper recommends the following:

1. **Strengthen Institutional Independence and Capacity**
- Bolster the operational independence, funding, and staffing of the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) to insulate it from partisan capture. Ensure sustained training and protection for field officials.
2. **Enhance Transparency and Secure Result Collation**
- Improve transparency at collation centers (public, live transmission of results where feasible), audit trails for result sheets, and strict chain-of-custody protocols for electoral materials. Expand independent verification by accredited observers.
3. **Enforce Criminal Sanctions and Fast-Track Prosecution**
- Strengthen investigative and prosecutorial capacities to deter vote buying, intimidation, and material manipulation—ensure timely prosecution and visible sanctions for perpetrators. Establish special electoral offences benches to fast-track cases.
4. **Protect Electoral Personnel and Observers**
- Provide security guarantees and legal protections for electoral officials, observers, and whistleblowers to reduce intimidation-related vulnerabilities. Develop rapid response protection protocols during electoral threats.
5. **Sustain Civic Education and Voter Empowerment**
- Implement nationwide civic-education campaigns emphasizing the value of credible elections, how to report infractions, and the long-term costs of malpractice to public welfare. Partner with civil society and media to amplify voter awareness
6. **Complement Technology with Institutional Reform**
- While adopting credible technologies (biometric verification, secure result transmission), ensure transparent procurement, independent audits, and contingency plans to prevent technological failures from becoming new avenues of manipulation.

7. **Promote Political Finance Transparency**

- Enforce disclosure rules for campaign finance and introduce caps and auditing to reduce vote buying and undue influence of money in elections.

Contributions and Future Research

This paper contributes an up-to-date synthesis linking electoral malpractice in Nigeria to a broad set of political, social, and economic harms and offers policy-focused recommendations. Future research should pursue longitudinal, subnational causal studies that quantify the fiscal and growth impacts of rigging more precisely, and examine reform experiments (e.g., pilot transparency measures) using rigorous evaluation designs.

References

Agbai, E.P. (2024). Principles, Barriers, and Pathways to Reforms: Case Study—Nigeria. Electoral Integrity Project report.

Ashindorbe, K. (2022). Two Decades of Democracy in Nigeria. Journal of African Elections (EISA).

Ejotubu, O.H. (2023–2025). Post-Election Litigation and Socio-Economic Implications in Nigeria. RSIS International/Journal article.

Higashijima, M., Chang, C., & others. (2024). Dynamics of Electoral Manipulation and Institutional Trust. Political Opinion Quarterly.

Madueke, O. (2025). Electoral Integrity and Election Management in Nigeria. Wiley.

Nzereogu, D.C. (2024). Electoral Malpractices and the Challenges. Nigerian Journal of Research & Communication Studies.

Oni, E.O. (2025). Security challenges and election administration in Nigeria. Frontiers in Political Science.

"Social Impact of Election Misconduct in Nigeria: A Study of the 2023 General Elections." (2024). ResearchGate document.