The scale **Journal Website:** https://thescalejournal.com.ng/
An Interdisciplinary Journal of **https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20282328**
The ACADEMIC STAFF UNION OF POLYTECHNICS - ZONE D
ISSN: 2992-3727 Published 30th May, 2026

EMOJI AS DIGITAL PARALINGUISTIC COMMUNICATION

Mrs Olivia Ojih
Benue State Polytechnic Ugbokolo
oliviaojih8@gmail.com, 07031375977

Abstract
In the age of digital communication, textual messages often lack the nonverbal cues that convey emotion and intent in face-to-face interactions. Emojis, as graphic symbols embedded in digital text, have emerged as a critical form of paralinguistic communication. This paper investigated how emojis function as digital paralinguistic markers to convey emotion, tone, and social context in online communication. Drawing on examples from social media, instant messaging, and email, the study analyzes how emojis supplement textual language, enhance clarity, and reduce ambiguity. The findings suggest that emojis serve not only as emotional indicators but also as social and cultural markers, influencing interpersonal dynamics in online interactions. The study concludes with implications for digital literacy, cross-cultural communication, and the design of communication platforms.

Keywords: Emoji, Digital Communication, Paralinguistics, Online Interaction, Digital Literacy

Introduction

Digital communication has transformed the ways humans interact, particularly through platforms like WhatsApp, Instagram, Twitter, and email. Unlike face-to-face conversation, digital messages lack tone, facial expressions, gestures, and other paralinguistic cues. This absence can lead to misinterpretation or ambiguity. Emojis have emerged as a solution to this problem, functioning as paralinguistic tools that convey emotion, tone, and intent.

Paralinguistics, traditionally defined as the study of vocal and non-verbal features that accompany spoken language (Trager, 1958), has expanded in digital contexts to include visual markers like emojis, GIFs, and stickers. Emojis are increasingly used across age groups, cultures, and platforms, providing a universal yet context-sensitive mode of expression. This paper explores the functions, significance, and limitations of emojis as digital paralinguistic tools.

Literature Review

Paralinguistic Features in Communication

Paralinguistics refers to features of communication that accompany verbal language, such as intonation, pitch, volume, and gestures, which convey meaning beyond words (Crystal, 2001). In face-to-face communication, these features are essential for expressing emotions and managing social interactions. The digital environment, however, strips away these nonverbal signals, creating a need for alternative cues.

Emergence of Emojis

Emojis originated in Japan in the late 1990s as small pictorial representations of emotions, objects, and ideas (Sugiyama, 2012). Today, Unicode standardization ensures that emojis are widely accessible across devices and platforms, making them a globally recognized paralinguistic resource. Studies have

shown that emoji use enhances clarity, conveys subtle social cues, and even affects the perceived tone of digital messages (Riordan, 2017; Danesi, 2016).

Emojis as Digital Paralinguistics

Researchers argue that emojis function as digital paralanguage because they communicate emotions and social signals that words alone cannot fully convey (Lo, 2008). Emojis can modify or reinforce the meaning of textual messages, act as substitutes for facial expressions, and facilitate rapport-building in online conversations. For example, a simple "😊" can indicate friendliness, reduce perceived harshness, and express empathy.

Cultural and Contextual Considerations

While emojis are widely used, their interpretation varies across cultural and contextual settings. For instance, the folded hands emoji 🙏 can signify gratitude in one culture but prayer or greeting in another (Kelly & Watts, 2015). Therefore, understanding emojis as paralinguistic tools requires attention to context, cultural norms, and communicative intent.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design using content analysis. Data were collected from three primary digital platforms: WhatsApp messages among university students, Twitter posts, and professional emails. The sample consists of 300 messages containing emojis across informal and formal contexts. Each instance of emoji use was analyzed to determine its paralinguistic function, contextual meaning, and effect on message interpretation.

The analysis focused on three key questions:

1. How do emojis function as paralinguistic markers in digital communication?
2. In what ways do emojis clarify or modify the meaning of textual messages?
3. How does context (informal vs. formal, cultural variation) influence emoji interpretation?

Findings and Discussion

Emojis as Emotional Markers

The study found that the most common function of emojis is to convey emotions. Happy faces, hearts, and laughing emojis frequently accompany positive statements, while sad or angry faces appear with negative expressions. Emojis help reduce ambiguity in messages that might otherwise be misinterpreted.

Emojis as Social Regulators

Emojis also serve as social regulators, managing relationships and interpersonal tone. For example, sending a wink 😉 or thumbs-up 👍 can soften directives, signal agreement, or reinforce politeness. In professional email contexts, however, their use is limited and dependent on the existing social norms of workplace communication.

Cultural and Contextual Variation

The interpretation of emojis varies based on culture, context, and personal experience. While some emojis have universally understood meanings, others are highly context-dependent. Misinterpretation can occur, especially when cultural connotations are not shared by communicators.

Implications for Digital Communication

The findings suggest that emojis enhance clarity, express emotion, and reduce miscommunication in text-based interactions. They are indispensable for effective digital communication, particularly in informal settings. For educators, marketers, and platform designers, understanding emoji use can improve communication strategies and interface design.

Conclusion

Emojis are powerful tools of digital paralinguistic communication. They compensate for the absence of nonverbal cues, provide emotional clarity, and regulate social interactions in text-based environments. While their interpretation is context-sensitive and culturally variable, emojis are an increasingly integral part of contemporary communication. Future research should explore cross-platform differences, the evolution of emoji use, and their role in professional and intercultural communication.

References

- Alshenqeeti, H. (2016). Are emojis creating a new or old visual language for new generations? Advances in Language and Literary Studies, 7(6), 56–69.
- Bai, Q., Dan, Q., Mu, Z., & Yang, M. (2019). A systematic review of emoji: Current research and future perspectives. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 2221. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02221
- Barbieri, F., Ballesteros, M., & Saggion, H. (2017). Are emojis predictable? In Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 105–111).
- Crystal, D. (2011). Internet linguistics: A student guide. Routledge.
- Danesi, M. (2016). The semiotics of emoji: The rise of visual language in the age of the internet. Bloomsbury.
- Derks, D., Bos, A. E. R., & von Grumbkow, J. (2008). Emoticons and online message interpretation. Computers in Human Behavior, 24(3), 766–785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2007.03.004
- Dresner, E., & Herring, S. C. (2010). Functions of the nonverbal in computer-mediated communication: Emoticons and illocutionary force. Communication Theory, 20(3), 249–268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.2010.01362.x
- Felbo, B., Mislove, A., Søgaard, A., Rahwan, I., & Lehmann, S. (2017). Using millions of emoji occurrences to learn any-domain representations for detecting sentiment, emotion and sarcasm. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 1615–1625).
- Herring, S. C., & Dainas, A. R. (2017). "Nice picture comment!" Graphicons in Facebook discourse. In Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
- Kaye, L. K., Wall, H. J., & Malone, S. A. (2016). "Turn that frown upside-down": A contextual account of emoticon usage on different virtual platforms. Computers in Human Behavior, 60, 463–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.088

- Kress, G. (2010). Multimodality: A social semiotic approach to contemporary communication. Routledge.
- Lo, S. K. (2008). The nonverbal communication functions of emoticons in computer-mediated communication. CyberPsychology & Behavior, 11(5), 595–597. https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2007.0132
- McCulloch, G. (2019). Because internet: Understanding the new rules of language. Riverhead Books.
- Miller, H., Thebault-Spieker, J., Chang, S., Johnson, I., Terveen, L., & Hecht, B. (2016). "Blissfully happy" or "ready to fight": Varying interpretations of emoji. In Proceedings of the 10th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (pp. 259–268).
- Novak, P. K., Smailović, J., Sluban, B., & Mozetič, I. (2015). Sentiment of emojis. PLoS ONE, 10(12), e0144296. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144296
- Park, J., Baek, Y. M., & Cha, M. (2014). Cross-cultural comparison of nonverbal cues in computer-mediated communication. Journal of Communication, 64(2), 333–354. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12086
- Riordan, M. A. (2017). Emojis as tools for emotion work: Communicating affect in text messages. Communication Research Reports, 34(3), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/08824096.2017.1361393
- Skovholt, K., Grønning, A., & Kankaanranta, A. (2014). The communicative functions of emoticons in workplace emails. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 19(4), 780–797. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12063
- Tigwell, G. W., & Flatla, D. R. (2016). Oh that's what you meant! Reducing emoji misunderstanding. In Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services.
- Walther, J. B., & D'Addario, K. P. (2001). The impacts of emoticons on message interpretation in computer-mediated communication. Social Science Computer Review, 19(3), 324–347. https://doi.org/10.1177/089443930101900307
- Yus, F. (2014). Not all emoticons are created equal. Journal of Pragmatics, 72, 75–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2014.03.003