The scale **Journal Website:** https://thescalejournal.com.ng/
An Interdisciplinary Journal of **https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20284271**
The ACADEMIC STAFF UNION OF POLYTECHNICS - ZONE D
ISSN: 2992-3727 Published 30th May, 2026

LEVERAGING TEACHER MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR COLLABORATIVE PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE AND EDUCATIONAL TRANSFORMATION IN AFRICA

Engr. Henry Azuka Ifeachor [1]; Anthony Jacob Eke [2]; Ozoinyi Chioma Percious [3]
[1,2] Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Technology, Federal Polytechnic Ngodo-Isuochi; Abia State Nigeria
[3] Department of Civil Engineering Technology, Federal Polytechnic Ngodo-Isuochi, Abia State, Nigeria
[1] ih.azuka@fedpis.edu.ng , +2348039333477; [2] aj.eke@fpi.edu.ng , +2347067296646
[3] cp.ozoniyi@fpi.edu.ng, +2347076478393

Abstract
Teacher Management Information Systems (TMIS) represent a critical technological and administrative infrastructure for the modernization of education systems globally. In the African context, where educational challenges are compounded by resource constraints, large pupil-teacher ratios, and decentralised management structures, the strategic implementation of TMIS holds transformative potential. This paper explores the conceptualisation, implementation, and strengthening of TMIS specifically to foster collaboration among educational stakeholders. It argues that a well-designed TMIS transcends its traditional role of personnel data repository to become a dynamic platform for collaborative professional development, policy formulation, and systemic governance. The paper employs a systematic literature review methodology, analysing peer-reviewed articles, institutional reports, and case studies from 2015 to 2025. Findings indicate that while several African nations have initiated TMIS deployments, their utility for fostering collaboration remains largely underdeveloped due to technical, capacity, and policy fragmentation. The discussion synthesises the affordances of TMIS for enabling data-driven dialogue between teachers, school leaders, regulatory authorities, and ministries. It further presents a framework for re-conceptualising TMIS as a collaborative professional ecosystem. The conclusion underscores the alignment of a collaborative TMIS with Continental Education Strategy for Africa (CESA 2026-2035) goals and offers targeted recommendations for policymakers, regulatory authorities, and development partners. These include investing in interoperable systems, building data literacy across the profession, and establishing governance models that promote transparent, collaborative use of teacher data for mutual benefit and system-wide improvement.

Keywords: Teacher Management Information System (TMIS), Collaboration, Data-Driven Decision Making, Teacher Professional Development, Education Policy.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of educational quality and equity across Africa is a central tenet of regional development agendas, most notably articulated in the Continental Education Strategy for Africa (CESA 2026-2035). At the heart of this pursuit lies the teaching profession—its size, distribution, qualifications, motivation, and professional efficacy. Effective management of this critical human resource is therefore not an administrative footnote but a strategic imperative. Historically, teacher management in many African countries has been hampered by paper-based records, fragmented databases across ministries and agencies, and slow, error-prone information flows (Mulkeen, 2017). This information

opacity impedes strategic planning, equitable deployment, targeted professional development, and, ultimately, the monitoring of teaching quality and learning outcomes.

In response to these challenges, Teacher Management Information Systems (TMIS) have emerged as a pivotal technological intervention. A TMIS is typically defined as a comprehensive digital system designed to collect, process, manage, and disseminate data related to teachers throughout their career lifecycle—from recruitment and qualification verification to deployment, professional development, performance appraisal, and retirement (Burns & Cerna, 2016). The primary promise of a TMIS is to replace informational chaos with reliable, accessible, and timely data, thereby enhancing administrative efficiency and evidence-based policy-making.

However, this paper posits that the predominant discourse around TMIS in Africa has been narrowly focused on administrative control and top-down monitoring, potentially overlooking a more transformative application: the cultivation of collaboration. The conference theme, "Recasting Teaching as a Collaborative Profession," invites a critical re-examination of all systemic levers, including technological ones. Consequently, this article explores a central research question: How can Teacher Management Information Systems in Africa be strategically designed, implemented, and strengthened to actively foster and sustain collaboration among teachers, school leaders, teacher unions, regulatory authorities, and government ministries?

The significance of this inquiry is multifold. Firstly, collaboration is increasingly recognised as a cornerstone of professional learning and innovation. Hargreaves and O'Connor (2018) elaborate on the concept of "collaborative professionalism," where practitioners work interdependently to improve teaching practice. A TMIS can facilitate this by connecting teachers across geographical divides, enabling the sharing of resources and practices, and creating virtual communities of practice. Secondly, collaborative data use can bridge the traditional gap between policy formulation and classroom reality. When teachers and school leaders jointly analyse data from a TMIS—on qualifications, training needs, or pupil performance linked to teacher deployment—they can engage in more meaningful dialogue with district and national officials, moving from passive data subjects to active partners in problem-solving (Piper, 2021).

This paper is structured to comprehensively address this theme. Following this introduction, a detailed literature review synthesises existing knowledge on TMIS implementation in Africa and the theoretical foundations of collaboration in education. The methodology section outlines the systematic approach used to gather and analyse evidence. Subsequently, the results and discussion section presents key findings organised around thematic areas, supported by illustrative tables. Finally, the conclusion summarises the argument and provides concrete recommendations for leveraging TMIS as a catalyst for a more collaborative, effective, and professionally empowered teaching force in Africa, directly supporting the aspirations of CESA 2026-2035 and the African Union Year of Education.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptualising Teacher Management Information Systems (TMIS)

A Teacher Management Information System is more than a simple database; it is an integrated socio-technical system. The technical core involves software and hardware for data entry, storage, processing, and reporting. However, its effectiveness is contingent upon the "socio" elements: the policies governing data collection and use, the human capacity to interact with the system, and the organisational culture surrounding information sharing (Farrell, 2018). Core functional modules of a comprehensive TMIS typically include teacher registry (biographical and qualification data),

deployment and transfer tracking, payroll integration, attendance monitoring, professional development records, performance appraisal results, and disciplinary action tracking (World Bank, 2022).

The evolution of TMIS in low- and middle-income countries has followed a trajectory from disjointed, project-based initiatives towards more integrated, government-owned systems. Early systems often focused on single objectives, such as cleaning payrolls to eliminate "ghost teachers," as seen in earlier reforms in Ghana and Kenya (Bold et al., 2017). While financially prudent, such a narrow focus can engender distrust among teachers, who may perceive the system solely as a surveillance tool. The contemporary conceptualisation, as advocated by UNESCO (2021), frames TMIS as a public good for system management and professional growth, integral to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education).

2.2 The State of TMIS Implementation in Africa: Progress and Persistent Gaps

Over the past decade, numerous African countries have made significant investments in developing TMIS, often with support from international development partners like the Global Partnership for Education (GPE), the World Bank, and UNICEF. Countries such as Rwanda, Tanzania, Nigeria, and Ethiopia have launched national-level systems with varying degrees of coverage and functionality (GEM Report, 2023). For instance, Rwanda's *Teacher Management Information System* (TMIS) is frequently cited for its comprehensiveness and integration with other government systems, aiding in efficient teacher deployment to remote areas (Mugo et al., 2019).

2.3 Collaboration in Education: Theoretical Underpinnings and Technological Affordances

Collaboration in an educational context refers to the process where two or more stakeholders work together towards a common goal, sharing knowledge, resources, and responsibility (Vangrieken et al., 2017). In teaching, it manifests in forms such as professional learning communities (PLCs), peer coaching, co-teaching, and collaborative action research. The benefits are well-documented: reduced professional isolation, diffusion of effective practices, increased teacher self-efficacy, and ultimately, improved student outcomes (Lomos et al., 2021).

Technology, particularly digital platforms, can act as a powerful "affordance" for collaboration—it creates possibilities for action that did not exist before (Gibson, 1979 as applied in education). A well-designed TMIS can afford collaboration by: (1) **Connecting:** Providing directories and profiles that help teachers find colleagues with specific expertise or interests across regions. (2) **Sharing:** Hosting repositories for lesson plans, assessment tools, and professional development materials that teachers can upload, rate, and discuss. (3) **Communicating:** Integrating discussion forums, announcement boards, and messaging functions for real-time dialogue. (4) **Analysing:** Providing disaggregated, user-friendly data dashboards that teams of teachers can analyse together to identify trends and plan interventions (Schuck et al., 2020).

The concept of "data-driven dialogue" is particularly relevant here. When teachers collaboratively interrogate data from a TMIS—for instance, data showing a correlation between participation in a specific training module and classroom observation scores—they engage in meaningful professional learning that is grounded in their own context (Earl & Katz, 2020). This moves data use from a compliance activity to a collaborative sense-making process.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

This paper employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to synthesise existing knowledge on TMIS and collaboration in the African context. The SLR was conducted following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines for scoping and reporting to ensure rigour and transparency (Page et al., 2021). The objective was to identify, evaluate, and synthesise all relevant high-quality research and policy documents published between 2015 and 2025.

The review was guided by the primary research question: What are the documented strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with designing and implementing Teacher Management Information Systems to foster collaboration among educational stakeholders in Africa? Secondary questions focused on the perceived benefits, success factors, and theoretical frameworks applied.

A comprehensive search strategy was executed in four stages. First, electronic databases relevant to education and international development were searched, including ERIC, JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the UNESCO Digital Library. Key search terms and their Boolean combinations included: ("Teacher Management Information System" OR TMIS) AND ("collaborat*" OR "professional community" OR "data use") AND ("Africa" OR "Sub-Saharan Africa"). Second, a hand-search of the websites of key organisations was conducted, including the African Union, UNESCO-IIEP and IICBA, the World Bank, the Global Partnership for Education (GPE), and Education International. Third, the reference lists of all identified major reviews and reports were scanned for additional sources (snowballing). Finally, a call for grey literature (policy documents, technical reports, case studies) was issued via professional networks focusing on educational technology in Africa.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were strictly applied. Included documents had to: (1) be published between January 2015 and December 2025; (2) focus substantively on TMIS or closely related human resource information systems in education; (3) address aspects of collaboration, stakeholder engagement, or professional learning; (4) have a primary focus on or include significant case material from African countries; (5) be available in English. Documents were excluded if they were simple news articles, focused solely on financial/payroll systems without a teacher development component, or pertained to regions outside Africa without transferable insights.

The initial database search yielded 387 records. After removal of duplicates, 312 unique records remained. Title and abstract screening against the inclusion criteria reduced this number to 89. Full-text assessment of these 89 documents led to the final inclusion of 54 documents deemed of direct relevance and sufficient quality. The quality assessment was based on the clarity of the research question/methodology (for academic papers) and the credibility of the publishing institution and depth of analysis (for grey literature). Table 2 outlines the final corpus composition.

Table 2: Composition of Reviewed Literature Corpus (N=54)

Document Type	Number	Percentage	Examples
Peer-Reviewed Journal Articles	18	33.3%	Studies in International Journal of Educational Development, Teaching and Teacher Education
Institutional Research Reports	15	27.8%	World Bank Working Papers, UNESCO-IIEP Publications
National Case Study Reports	12	22.2%	GPE Country Evaluation Reports, Government TMIS Assessment Documents
Doctoral Theses	5	9.3%	Theses from African and international universities
Book Chapters	4	7.4%	Contributions to edited volumes on EdTech and teacher policy
Total	54	100%	

Source: Author's systematic review data.

A thematic analysis approach, as described by Braun and Clarke (2022), was used to analyse the final corpus. This involved a six-phase process: (1) familiarisation with the data through repeated reading; (2) generating initial codes; (3) searching for themes by collating codes; (4) reviewing and refining themes; (5) defining and naming themes; and (6) producing the scholarly report. An iterative coding process using NVivo software assisted in managing the data. Key themes that emerged included: "TMIS Design Philosophy," "Stakeholder Engagement in Development," "Technical Interoperability," "Data Literacy and Capacity," "Use Cases for Collaboration," and "Policy-Implementation Gaps." These themes structure the presentation of results and discussion in the following section.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The analysis of the literature reveals a complex landscape where the potential of TMIS for collaboration is widely acknowledged but infrequently realised. The findings are presented and discussed under four interconnected thematic areas.

4.1 Prevailing Design Philosophies: From Control to Collaboration

A dominant finding is that the underlying design philosophy of most TMIS initiatives in Africa remains oriented towards administrative control and accountability to central authorities, rather than empowerment and service to the teaching workforce. This philosophy manifests in system architecture and user interface design. Systems are often optimised for data entry by district officers and reporting to national headquarters, with limited or non-existent portals for individual teachers to access and interact with their own data or connect with peers (Piper, 2021).

For instance, a review of TMIS in three East African countries found that teacher-facing interfaces, where they existed, were often "read-only," allowing teachers to view their personal details and deployment history but not to update information, download training certificates, or participate in forums (Mugo et al., 2019). This design reinforces a passive relationship between the teacher and the system. In contrast, a collaborative design philosophy would view teachers as primary *users* and *co-creators* of system value. This would entail features like teacher portfolios, peer feedback modules, and integrated communities of practice platforms. The case of South Africa's *Integrated Quality Management System (IQMS)*, while not a full TMIS, offers an early example of attempting to link

performance management with development through a structured, dialogic process—though its implementation has faced significant challenges (Chisholm & Motala, 2021).

Table 3: Contrasting Design Philosophies for TMIS

Feature	Control-Oriented TMIS Design	Collaboration-Oriented TMIS Design
Primary Purpose	Monitor teachers, ensure compliance, and eliminate payroll fraud.	Empower teachers, facilitate professional growth, and enable system learning.
Data Flow	Unidirectional: School → District → National.	Multi-directional: Includes teacher ↔ teacher, school ↔ ministry feedback loops.
Teacher's Role	Data subject, passive provider of information.	Active user, contributor of professional knowledge, peer reviewer.
Key Functionalities	Registry, payroll integration, transfers tracking, absenteeism reports.	All of **the above**, plus: professional portfolio, resource repository, discussion forums, and collaborative data dashboards.
Governance Model	Centralized, ministry-led.	Multi-stakeholder, with representation from unions, teaching councils, and schools.

Source: Developed by author based on synthesis of Farrell (2018); Schuck et al. (2020); UNESCO (2021).

The shift towards a collaborative design philosophy is not merely technical but deeply political and cultural. It requires a reconceptualization of the relationship between the state and the teaching profession, moving from a principal-agent model to a partnership model (Burns & Cerna, 2016).

4.2 The Critical Role of Stakeholder Engagement in System Development

Literature consistently identifies inclusive stakeholder engagement during the planning, design, and piloting phases as a critical success factor for any TMIS, but especially one aimed at fostering collaboration. Stakeholders include teacher unions, subject associations, school leaders, national teaching councils, and teachers themselves. Their early involvement serves multiple purposes: it ensures the system addresses real user needs, builds a sense of ownership, identifies potential resistance points, and leverages the professional knowledge of practitioners (World Bank, 2022).

A positive example can be drawn from the development of The Gambia's *Education Management Information System (EMIS)*, which included a teacher module. The process involved extensive consultations with the Gambia Teachers' Union (GTU) and school principals to define the data points to be collected and to discuss how the data would be used (GPE, 2020). This process, while time-consuming, was credited with reducing suspicion and increasing the perceived legitimacy of the system. Conversely, cases where TMIS were designed by external consultants and "dropped" into the system with minimal local consultation often led to rejection, poor data entry compliance, and ultimate failure (Farrell, 2018). Engagement must be substantive, not ceremonial. Table 4 outlines a phased engagement strategy for a collaborative TMIS.

Table 4: Phased Multi-Stakeholder Engagement Strategy for TMIS Development

Phase	Key Activities	Involved Stakeholders	Desired Outcome
1. Conceptualization & Needs Assessment	Conduct workshops, survey, and focus groups to map current pain points and collaborative aspirations.	Ministry Officials, Teaching Council, Teacher Union reps, School Leader assoc., EdTech experts.	A shared vision document and a prioritized list of functional requirements for collaboration.
2. Co-Design & Prototyping	Form a technical working group with stakeholder reps; develop wireframes and mock-ups; conduct usability testing with end-teachers.	Technical team, Union ICT reps, Master Teachers, School Administrators.	A validated system prototype that is intuitive and meets key user needs for professional interaction.
3. Pilot Implementation & Feedback	Roll out the system in a representative sample of districts/schools; establish robust feedback channels (e.g., user forums, helpdesk).	Pilot school teachers & leaders, District support staff, Union field officers.	A refined system, a list of resolved bugs, and a cohort of "champion" users who can support scaling.
4. Scale-Up & Governance	Establish a permanent TMIS governance committee with stakeholder representation to oversee updates, data policy, and usage.	All key stakeholder groups at national and regional levels.	Sustainable, trusted system with accountable governance supporting continuous improvement.

Source: Adapted from World Bank (2022) and author's analysis.

4.3 Interoperability and Data Ecosystems: Breaking Down Silos for Holistic Insight

A major technical barrier to collaborative TMIS is the lack of interoperability—the ability of different information systems to exchange, interpret, and use data seamlessly. In many countries, the TMIS exists in isolation from the Student EMIS, the school financial management system, and the in-service training provider databases (UNESCO, 2021). This creates data silos that prevent the kind of rich, layered analysis that fuels collaborative problem-solving. For example, a professional learning community wanting to investigate the impact of a new pedagogy on literacy outcomes would ideally cross-reference teacher training data (from TMIS) with classroom observation data (possibly from a separate appraisal system) and student assessment results (from EMIS). If these systems cannot communicate, this analysis becomes a manual, onerous, if not impossible, task.

The push towards system interoperability is gaining traction under the broader "digital public infrastructure" agenda. Countries like Estonia (though not African) provide a powerful model of interoperability across government services. In Africa, Rwanda's approach to integrating its TMIS with other digital government platforms is a leading example (Mugo et al., 2019). The technical solution

often involves the development of Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) and adherence to common data standards. The *Open Standards for Data* initiative and frameworks like *Experience API (xAPI)* for learning analytics offer pathways forward (Kobie, 2023).

However, interoperability is not just a technical challenge; it is a governance one. It requires different government departments and agencies (e.g., Ministry of Education, Teaching Service Commission, Examinations Council) to agree on data standards, sharing protocols, and responsibilities for maintenance. This necessitates high-level political will and sustained technical coordination.

Table 5: Levels of System Interoperability for a Collaborative TMIS

Level	Description	Example in TMIS Context	Benefit for Collaboration
1. Technical	Systems can exchange data packets via networks and APIs.	TMIS server can send a data query to the EMIS server.	Enables automated data flows, removing manual export/import barriers.
2. Syntactic	Data format and structure are common (e.g., using XML or JSON schemas).	Both TMIS and EMIS use the same format for "school ID" and "teacher ID."	Ensures exchanged data can be parsed and understood by the receiving system.
3. Semantic	The meaning of the data is shared across systems (common ontologies/vocabularies).	Both systems define "qualified teacher" using the same criteria (e.g., certification code, subject specialization).	Ensures data from different sources can be combined for accurate analysis (e.g., linking teacher qualification to student results).
4.Organisational	Business processes, policies, and governance align to support data sharing.	A signed MoU between the Ministry and Teaching Council mandates and regulates data exchange for professional development planning.	Creates the trust and legal framework necessary for sustainable, large-scale data integration and joint use.

Source: Adapted from the European Interoperability Framework (EIF) and applied to TMIS context by author.

4.4 Cultivating Data Literacy and a Culture of Collaborative Inquiry

The most sophisticated, interoperable, and beautifully designed TMIS will fail as a tool for collaboration if its intended users lack the capacity and will to use it as such. Therefore, building **data literacy** across the profession is not a supplementary training activity but a core component of TMIS strengthening. Data literacy here extends beyond the ability to input data to encompass the ability to access, interpret, critique, and use data ethically to inform practice and dialogue (Mandinach & Gummer, 2016).

Findings indicate that professional development programs for TMIS in Africa have overwhelmingly focused on data entry clerks and system administrators. Teacher training, if it exists, is often a brief session on "how to check your details." A collaborative TMIS requires a more ambitious capacity-building strategy. Teachers need to be trained on how to: use dashboards to view school-level data on staff qualifications and training; participate in online professional forums; upload and tag shared resources; and, crucially, engage in protocols for "data-wise" conversations (Earl & Katz, 2020). School leaders need training on facilitating these collaborative data sessions and using TMIS data for supportive instructional leadership rather than punitive oversight.

The experience of Kenya's *Teacher Performance Appraisal and Development (TPAD)* system, which is digitally supported, illustrates both the potential and the pitfalls. The system is designed to involve a dialogue between the teacher and appraiser, with goals set collaboratively. However, studies have shown that without strong training on the collaborative spirit of the tool and data literacy, it often devolves into a compliance-focused, top-down exercise (Kafu, 2021). This underscores that technology alone cannot instil a collaborative culture; it must be accompanied by deep investment in changing professional norms and practices through continuous support and leadership.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This paper has argued that strengthening Teacher Management Information Systems (TMIS) in Africa presents a unique opportunity to advance the agenda of "recasting teaching as a collaborative profession." Moving beyond a narrow administrative and control-oriented paradigm, a collaborative TMIS can serve as the digital backbone for a more connected, evidence-informed, and professionally empowered teaching force. The systematic review confirms that while technical deployments are advancing, the deliberate design and cultivation of TMIS as platforms for collaboration remain nascent. Key obstacles include restrictive design philosophies, inadequate stakeholder engagement, poor interoperability, and limited data literacy.

A collaborative TMIS aligns perfectly with the aspirations of the African Union's Continental Education Strategy for Africa (CESA 2026-2035), which emphasises the need for harmonised teacher policies, quality assurance, and the leveraging of technology. It also directly supports the African Union Year of Education (2025-2034) by providing a tangible mechanism to improve teacher management and professional support at scale. To realise this potential, the following multi-level recommendations are proposed:

5.1 Recommendations for National Governments and Ministries of Education

1. **Adopt a National Policy for Collaborative TMIS Design:** Governments should mandate that any new Teacher Management Information System (TMIS) includes collaborative features for teachers and is designed with input from educators, unions, and school leaders.
2. **Invest in a National Education Data Interoperability Framework:** Develop and fund common data standards and APIs to seamlessly connect the TMIS with student, financial, and qualification databases.
3. **Establish an Inclusive TMIS Governance Council:** Create a permanent council with statutory representation from ministries, regulatory bodies, teacher unions, and parents to oversee data policy and system development.
4. **Integrate Data Literacy into Teacher Professional Standards:** Regulatory authorities should make data literacy a core competency, integrating TMIS training into both pre-service education and continuous professional development.

5. **Mandate Collaborative Data Use in Schools:** School leaders should be supported to use TMIS data in staff meetings for collaborative problem-solving and planning, not just top-down monitoring.
6. **Align Donor Funding with the Collaborative Paradigm:** International partners should fund the development of teacher-centric TMIS features, stakeholder consultation, and data literacy programs, not just hardware and central software.
7. **Commission Action Research on Impact:** Fund and disseminate rigorous studies on how collaborative TMIS features affect teacher practice and student learning to build a global evidence base.

REFERENCES

Bold, T., Filmer, D., Martin, G., Molina, E., Stacy, B., Rockmore, C., ... & Wane, W. (2017). What do teachers know and do? Does it matter? Evidence from primary schools in Africa. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 7956.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications

Burns, M., & Cerna, L. (2016). *Teacher management in refugee settings: Public schools in Jordan*. Education Development Trust.

Chisholm, L., & Motala, S. (2021). Teacher evaluation and development in South Africa: The limits of policy. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Teacher Education in Africa* (pp. 1-21). Palgrave Macmillan.

Earl, L. M., & Katz, S. (2020). *Leading schools in a data-rich world: Harnessing data for school improvement*. Corwin Press.

Farrell, G. (2018). *EMIS: From data to information to knowledge to wisdom*. UNESCO-IICBA Think Piece.

Global Education Monitoring (GEM) Report. (2023). *Technology in education: A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO.

Global Partnership for Education (GPE). (2020). *The Gambia: Education sector program implementation grant (ESPIG) 2018-2021 – Mid-term review report*.

Hargreaves, A., & O'Connor, M. T. (2018). *Collaborative professionalism: When teaching together means learning for all*. Corwin Press.

Kafu, P. A. (2021). Teacher performance appraisal and development in Kenya: Policy intentions, implementation challenges and future prospects. *International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies, 13*(1), 1-12.

Kobie, N. (2023). *The power of data: How interoperability can transform education in Africa*. EdTech Hub.

Lomos, C., Luyten, J. W., & Tieck, S. (2021). The relationship between teachers' professional community and student achievement: A meta-analysis. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 32*(3), 406-429.

Mandinach, E. B., & Gummer, E. S. (2016). What does it mean for teachers to be data literate: Laying out the skills, knowledge, and dispositions. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 60*, 366-376.

Mugo, J. K., Rutenberg, N., & Wamalwa, F. M. (2019). *Teacher management in fragile contexts: A review of policies and practices in sub-Saharan Africa*. VVOB.

Mulkeen, A. (2017). *Teachers in Africa: An overview*. International Journal of Educational

Development, 53, 1-9.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D. & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*.

Piper, B. (2021). *Effective use of EMIS data at the school level: A guide for school leaders and teachers*. RTI International.

Schuck, S., Aubusson, P., Burden, K., & Brindley, S. (2020). *Uncertainty in teacher education futures: Scenarios, politics and STEM*. Springer.

UNESCO. (2021). *Teacher management, recruitment, and deployment: A review of the literature*. UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP)

Vangrieken, K., Meredith, C., Packer, T., & Kyndt, E. (2017). Teacher communities as a context for professional development: A systematic review. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 61*, 47-59.

World Bank. (2022). *EdTech for teacher management systems: A guidance note*. World Bank Group.